UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,502	12/19/2005	Clive Morel Fourman	L1184/20001	6422
	7590 06/03/201 ISE, BERNSTEIN,	EXAMINER		
COHEN & POR	KOTILOW, LTD.	ZAGARELLA, STEPHANIE R		
11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH:	IA, PA 19103-2212		3623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/561,502	FOURMAN, CLIVE MOREL		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Stephanie Zagarella	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 004)
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [·	•	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ided below or appended.	in be entered and an e	Apianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. h - f	- 41 5 A 1 11 1	t la a contanna d
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Beth V. Boswell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623	/Stephanie Zagarella/ Examiner, Art Unit 3623		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that Thompson does not teach a graphical user interface arranged to display a scorecard (constituting a hierarchy of intent) or other representation of information constituting a hierarchy of intent. Examiner respectfully disagrees because as claimed the limitation requires only that an interface display a scorecard OR other representation of information constituting a hierarchy of intent. As currently claimed the scorecard does not necessarily represent a hierarchy of intent and the "or" requires that only one of the two are displayed.

However, even if it is read that the scorecard also constitutes a hierarchy of intent, Thompson clearly teaches what the claim describes the scorecard as representing. As claimed, the hierarchy of intent includes a representation of a plurality of indicators associated with an entity. Thompson in at least Fig. 3 illustrates a scorecard which represents a collection of indicators relating to programs, projects, policies or strategies of an enterprise. Revenue, profit, cash flow, and inventory are representative of measured indicators which are comparable to performance goals where goals are commonly known to represent the intent of a company. The performance measures can be drilled to obtain more detailed levels of data and while the exact nature of a quick drill button is not described by Thompson, it is known in the art that drilling enables users performing data analysis to review different levels or layers of data and results. Furthermore, the objectives referred to by the applicant are not recited in the claims and it is noted that absent an express definition of a hierarchy of intent in the specification the broadest reasonable interpretation is applied. The specification does not expressly define "hierarchy of intent" but describes it as a label given to a known hierarchy of objectives culminating in indicators. A hierarchy of objectives is not expressly defined either therefore the broadest reasonable interpretation would be that a hierarchical business has different levels of goals which can be monitored by indicators.

Continuation of 13. Other: The entered amendment referred to in 7. above referrs to the amendments to the specification. The amendments overcome the objection regarding formal matters in the specification previously raised, the objection is respectfully withdrawn.